Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,616	PETROV ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANDY HO	2194
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Andy Ho</u> .	(3)	
(2) Thomas C. Webster (46,154).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 November 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 24 and 32		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Andy Ho/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/749,616

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the applicant that the application was being searched before allowance. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 112 issues in claims 24 and 32. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment once the application was in condition for allowance.